

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/202,838	01/21/2000	BERTRAND VERDAGUER	TSRI504.1	3464		
7	2590 04/17/2003					
THE SCRIPPS RESEARCH INSTITUTE 10550 NORTH TORREY PINES ROAD MAIL DROP TPC 8			EXAMINER			
			ZHOU, SHUBO			
LA JOLLA, CA						
Erito EEri, C.	,200,		ART UNIT	PARES, NUMBER		
			1631			
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisom, Action	09/202,838	VERDAGUER ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shubo "Joe" Zhou	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresp ndence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE To Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	old abandonment of this application ) a timely filed amendment which it (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper repr h places the applica	y to a ition in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 19 March 2003. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	in the period set for if the appeal.	th in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b		and NOTE haland				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
<ul><li>(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);</li><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the</li></ul>						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	IS.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		•				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	r reconsideration has been cons <u>e continuation</u> .	idered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	it(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b rould be rejected is provided bel	n) will be entered a now or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exam	iiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
•						

Application No.

Applicant(s)

## Continuation Sh et (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended claims contains a new limitation "said promoter nucleotide sequence has at least 80% identity to 18sequential nucleotides...". The new limitation requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5: In regard to the rejections of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph, applicants' argument is essentially on the ground that the specification provides examples of promoter sequences that are at least 80% identical to the sequence of SEQ ID NO:3, thus the rejection should be withdrawn. This is not found persuasive because the specification only provide examples of promoters of 100% identity to the sequence of SEQ ID NO:3, not 80% or a percentage between 80% and 100%.

In regard to the rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 102, applicants' argument is essentially on the ground that Calvert et al. does not disclose the nucleic acids as claimed in the instant claims. This is not found persuasive because as set forth in the previous Office action Calvert et al. disclose an isolated nucleic acid that comprises an promoter sequence that has 100%, thus at least 80%, identity with 18 consecutive nucleotides of the sequence of SEQ ID NO:3.

A

JOHN S. BRUSCA, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER